

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/764,246	01/23/2004	Donald Allen Pile	R087 1273.1	8048
7590 12/27/2007 WOMBLE CARLYLE SANDRIDGE & RICE			EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 7037			FELTON, AILEEN BAKER	
Atlanta, GA 30	357-0037		ART UNIT . PAPER NUMBER	
(()			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	12/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summany	10/764,246	PILE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Aileen B. Felton	1793	0			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (8) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/2/2	<u> 2007</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowan	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1, 3-16, 18-22,32-38, 40, 41,44 and 4	5 is/are pending in the application	l.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-16,18-22,32-38,40,41,44 and 45</u> is	s/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies not receive	3.				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)  Other:	•••				

Application/Control Number: 10/764,246

Art Unit: 1793

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3-16, 18-22, 32-38, 40, 41, 44, and 45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over John, Jr. et al (6,478,903) in view of Boberg (5,654,520) or Calsson (4,853,052).

John, Jr. et al discloses the composition substantially as claimed including an oxidizer such as potassium nitrate from 20-70 % (col. 2, lines 50-55), a secondary explosive such as PETN at 3%(col. 4, lines 35-37 and Table 1), a sensitizer such as tetrazene from 4-11 % (col. 4, lines 37-47), and a metallic fuel such as aluminum from 2-20 % (col. 4, lines 55-65). The composition also includes bismuth sulfide as the fuel or inflammable material in the primer mix (col. 2, lines 45-65) but does not mention the use of bismuth oxide.

Both Boberg (examples) and Calsson (col. 2 table) teach that it is known to use bismuth trioxide in amounts greater than 15 % in a primer composition.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the bismuth trioxide as taught by Boberg or Calsson with the composition of John, Jr. et al since Boberg or Calsson suggests that the bismuth trioxide catalyst has been found to be applicable to priming mixtures generally and since John, Jr. et al

Art Unit: 1793

suggests the use of a bismuth salt for use in priming compositions. Alternatively, it is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions, each taught for the same purpose to yield a third composition for that very purpose. *In re Kerkhoven*, 205 USPQ 1069, *In re Pinten*, 173 USPQ 801, and *In re Susi*, i69 USPQ 423.

## Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that the prior art does not have similar burn rates to that of the claimed invention. First, these burn rates are not claimed. Second, the burn rate would be an inherent property of a composition as disclosed and taught. Applicant further argues that there is no reason to combine. This is unpersuasive since all references relate to priming compositions and thus it would be obvious to use the teachings of Boberg and Calsson since they indicate that it is known to add a bismuth trioxide catalyst to priming compositions. The Examiner is quite aware that John does not disclose bismuth oxides, however, since Boberg and Calsson both teach the use of bismuth trioxide in a primer composition, it would be obvious to include it in the primer composition as disclosed by John.

#### Conclusion

4. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Application/Control Number: 10/764,246

Art Unit: 1793

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Aileen B. Felton whose telephone number is 571.272.6875. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 6:30-4:00, except alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jerry Lorengo can be reached on 571.272.1233. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Aileen Felton/

Application/Control Number: 10/764,246 Page 5

Art Unit: 1793

Primary Examiner Art Unit 1793